Probabilistic Mapping of Dark Matter by Neural Score Matching Benjamin Remy¹, Francois Lanusse¹, Zaccharie Ramzi², Jia Liu³, Niall Jeffrey^{4,5}, Jean-Luc Starck¹

1. AIM, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France 2. CEA (Neurospin and Cosmostat), Inria (Parietal) Gif-sur-Yvette, France 3. Berkeley Center for Cosmological Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA 4. Laboratoire de Physique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, ENS, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université Université de Paris, Paris, France 5. University College London, Gower St, London, UK

Introduction

Dark Matter present in the Large-Scale Structure of the Universe is invisible, but its presence can be inferred through the **weak** gravitational lensing effect it has on the images of far away galaxies. By measuring this lensing effect on a large number of galaxies it is possible to reconstruct maps of the Dark Matter distribution on the sky. This, however, represents an extremely challenging **inverse problem** due to missing data and noise dominated measurements. In this work, we present a novel methodology for addressing such inverse problems by combining elements of Bayesian statistics, analytic physical theory, and a recent class of Deep Generative Models based on Neural Score Matching.

Our approach

Our approach allows to do the following:

- 1. Make full use of analytic cosmological theory to constrain the **2 point** statistics of the solution.
- 2. Learn from **cosmological simulations** any **differences between this** analytic prior and full simulations.
- 3. Obtain **samples** from the **full Bayesian posterior** of the problem for robust Uncertainty Quantification.
- We present an application of this methodology on the first deep-learning-assisted Dark Matter map reconstruction of the Hubble Space Telescope COSMOS field.

Weak Gravitational Lensing



Bayesian Inverse problem

Shear map γ and convergence map κ are related through the Kaiser-Squires (1993) transformation:

$$oldsymbol{\gamma} = \mathbf{MTPF}^*oldsymbol{\kappa} + \mathbf{n}, \qquad \mathbf{n} \ \mathbf{v}$$

- It is an ill-posed inverse problem because of missing data and noise corruption.
- \triangleright We aim to provide all the possible convergence map κ for a given observed ellipciticy map ϵ , thus estimate the **posterior distribution**:

$$\underbrace{p(\kappa|\epsilon,\mathcal{M})}_{\text{posterior}} \propto \underbrace{p(\epsilon|\kappa,\mathcal{M})}_{\text{likelihood}} \underbrace{p(\kappa|\mathcal{M})}_{\text{prior}}$$

 \blacktriangleright The likelihood term $p(\epsilon | \kappa, \mathcal{M})$ encodes our physical understanding of the forward process that leads to the observation, given a set of cosmological parameters \mathcal{M} .

 $\log p(\epsilon|\kappa,\mathcal{M}) \propto - \|\mathbf{M}(\gamma-\mathbf{T}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{F}^*\kappa)\|_{\Sigma_n}^2$

The prior term $p(\kappa)$ encodes prior knowledge on the convergence map, given by analytic cosmological theory and learned on simulations.

Prior learning with Denoising Score Matching

- Prior on high dimensional images can be modeled by learning the gradient of its log probability $\nabla_x \log p(x)$, which is called the score function [1].
- \blacktriangleright Given a signal $x \sim p$, its noisy version x' = x + n, and $p_{\sigma^2} = p * \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, an optimal denoiser r^* is related to the score function as [2], [3]:

 $r^{\star}(x', \sigma) = x' + \sigma^2 \nabla_x \log p_{\sigma^2}(x')$

Hybrid prior

► We assume that the matter density field is gaussian at large scales. Then it is fully characterised by its 2 point statistics.

$$oldsymbol{p}_{th}(oldsymbol{\kappa}) = rac{1}{\sqrt{\det 2\pi S}} \exp\left(-rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{\kappa}^\dagger S^{-1}oldsymbol{\kappa}
ight)$$

with S diagonal in Fourier space. Would yield only Gaussian constrained realisations or behave as a Wiener filter if the MAP is the target. **Decomposition** of the **score** of the full prior $p(\kappa)$:

$$\underbrace{\nabla_{\kappa} \log p(\kappa)}_{\text{full prior}} = \underbrace{\nabla_{\kappa} \log p_{th}(\kappa)}_{\text{gaussian prior}} + \underbrace{r_{\theta}(\kappa, \nabla_{\kappa} \log p_{th}(\kappa))}_{\text{learned residuals}}$$



Sampling from score function

 $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

MCMC procedures (Langevin Dynamics, Hamiltonian Monte Carlo) only depends on the gradient of the log distribution.

$$m{x}_{t+1} = m{x}_t + rac{1}{2}m{\epsilon}m{
abla}_{m{x}}\log m{x}_t$$

Annealing is used to avoid difficulties due to low density regions between modes. The MCMC updates are computed using a Gaussian-convolved version of the target density

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	١.	1	h.	k	÷	÷	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	١.	1.	1	1	1	1	1		~	`	`	1	1	1	١.	λ.	k.	÷.	۰.	4	4	1	1	1
1	1	`	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	Ł	+	+	4	4	6	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	1	2	1	1	~	`	`	`	1	١.	١.	١.	۱.	٤.	۰.	4.	4	4	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	4		4			4	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	17	1	1	1	1	2	9	1	~	1	1	1	λ.	١.	١.	۱.	۱.	١.	۰.	4	4	4	1	1
ŝ	1	1	1	1	1	1	6		ĥ.			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2		~	1	1	1	1	1	1	١.	۰.			4	1	1	4	ĵ,
ç,	5	5	ŝ	1			ŝ.		÷.						1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	5	2		~	~	1	1	1	5	\$	έ.				4	i.			í.
5		5	5				4									1	1	1	1	1			,	5	5	5	5	5		~	~	~		1	1										2
	ς.	ς.	ς.				÷					1				1	1	1	1	1			2	5	5	5	5	5			-	-	-		1				÷	÷	÷	÷			
																		1	5	1				5	5	5	5	2			-	-											1		
	-																			1				5	5	5	5	5			-	-										1			
																1	1	1						5	5	5	5	5			-														
2																		1	1				2	2	1	5	5	5						Ξ.	Ξ.										
2			2																				1	2	1	1	1	1						Ξ.	Ξ.	2									
2	2	2	2		2																			2	1	1	1	1			2		2	Ξ.	Ξ.	2									
2	1	1	2		2																			2	1	1	1	-		1	2	2	2	Ξ.	Ο,										
_	1	2	2	1	1	1																			1	-	-	-			1	Ξ.	2	Ξ.	Ξ.	1									
2	2	2	0		5	1	1																		-	-	-	-			1	Ξ.	5	٥.			Ξ.								
1	1	2	2		1	1	1																•	•	•	-	-	-		1	1	Ξ.	2	0		۰.	۰.								
1	1	1	1	1	1		1		1														•	•	•	•	-	-		1	1	1	1	1	1	۰.	۰.	1	1	1					
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1																•	•	•	•	-	-		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1								
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1															•	•	•	-	-	-		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	*	*	*	*					
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1													•	•	•	*	-	-	~		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	*	*	*	*	•		٠.	۰.	
٢.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1													•	•	۰.	*	*	*	~		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	,	"	*	*	*	٠	٠.	۰.	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	,	1										•	•		•	۰.	۰.	*	*	*	*		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	"	"	*	٠		٠.	
٢.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	,	,										•		• 1	۰.	٠	۰.	•	٩,	~		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	,	"	"	"		٠.	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	,	,								•	1		•	۰.	۲	٦	•	1	~		/	/	/	1	1	1	1	1	۲	1	1	1	1	1	٠	
Ľ	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	,	1			1	1	1	1	1		1	٩.	٩	٩	٩	٦	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	٢	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	,	,		. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	١.	١	٩	1	٦	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	,
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	١.	١	٨	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
r	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1			١.	١	١	1	1	1		1	/	/	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
												1	7	-																														7	۰.
												ſ)		1																											- 1		,	1

 σ^2 gradually annealed to low temperatures and the chain progressively moves towards a point in the target distribution. $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \sigma_3 > \sigma_4$, $p_{\sigma^2}(x) = \int p_{\text{target}}(t) \mathcal{N}(x|t,\sigma^2 I)$

Results







References

- [5] tomography with cosmos", Astronomy and Astrophysics, vol. 516, A63, Jun. 2010, ISSN: 1432-0746.

STAT

$\log p(x_t) + \sqrt{\epsilon}w, \quad w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$



Training and testing on the MassiveNus suite of simulations [4].

Reconstruction of Dark Matter maps on simulated Hubble Space Telescope lensing measurements. Top row from left to right: true map from MassiveNuS simulations. COSMOS mask, a reconstructed map using a conventional KS method, and the median of our posterior samples. Bottom row shows samples from our estimated posterior.

▶ **High quality** mass map reconstruction from real survey [5].

Dark Matter map reconstruction of HST COSMOS survey. We compare a random sample of the posterior, the posterior mean and KS reconstruction. X-ray clusters and their redshifts are indicated in white.

. Song and S. Ermon, "Generative Modeling by Estimating Gradients of the Data Distribution", *NeurIPS*, 2019. Vincent, "A connection between scorematching and denoising autoencoders", Neural Computation, 2011, ISSN: 08997667. . Alain and Y. Bengio, "What regularized auto-encoders learn from the data generating distribution", ICLR 2013, . Liu, S. Bird, J. M. Z. Matilla, et al., "MassiveNuS: Cosmological massive neutrino simulations", Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, vol. 2018, no. 3, 2018, ISSN: 14757516. [Online]. Available: http://columbialensing.org.. . Schrabback, J. Hartlap, B. Joachimi, et al., "Evidence of the accelerated expansion of the universe from weak lensing