



# Background

- The Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) has detected excess gamma-rays towards the Galactic center<sup>1</sup>.
- Many possible explanations for this signal, including **annihilating** dark matter in the central Milky Way<sup>1</sup>.
- However, we first need to first remove all **explainable sources** of gamma radiation to account for background signal.
- One important indicator for gamma radiation emitted by cosmic rays interacting with dense interstellar gas is one of the isotopologues of carbon monoxide: <sup>13</sup>CO.

## **Overview of Method**

- **However**, <sup>13</sup>CO is typically difficult to measure directly, but we can easily measure the more common isotopologue: <sup>12</sup>CO.
- Maybe we can learn a mapping between these two isotopologues.  $^{12}CO \rightarrow ^{13}CO$
- Recently, the Mopra Southern Galactic Plane CO Survey<sup>2</sup> has provided a dataset with both isotopologues in the same region.
- MOPRA provides 50, 1° x 1° x 17 bins, image cubes of the galactic center. Not enough for a traditional image-to-image modeling!
- Since concentration is a local process, We **split these images into patches** and train on each of them individually!
- We train a Matern-kernel Gaussian process and two variations of a **convolutional neural network** in order to learn this mapping.

### References

- **1.** Dan Hooper and Lisa Goodenough. *Dark* Matter Annihilation in The Galactic Center As Seen by the Fermi Gamma Ray Space *Telescope.* Phys. Lett. B, 697:412–428, 2011.
- 2. Michael G Burton, Catherine Braiding, Christian Glueck, Paul Goldsmith, Jarryd Hawkes, David J Hollenbach, Craig Kulesa, Christopher L Martin, Jorge L Pineda, Gavin Rowell, et al. *The Mopra* Southern Galactic Plane CO Survey. Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia, 30, 2013.







**GP** Patch

# **Deep Learning to Reconstruct Gas Skymaps for Dark Matter Detection**

Alexander Shmakov\*, Christopher M. Karwin\*, Mohammadamin Tavakoli\*, Simona Murgia, Pierre Baldi

# **Gaussian Process**

- account for the noise in the data.
- at each velocity bin and combine into a single prediction.

$$o_{[i,j]} = \frac{y_{[i,j]}^h + y_{[i,j]}^v}{2}$$
CNN

- single velocity bin.

### **CNN Loss**

- MOPRA contains many incredibly high concentration.
- We compare two loss functions: Weighted MAE & Poisson NLL

$$\gamma_t = \left(\sigma - \frac{\sigma}{1 + \exp\left(-10t + 5\right)}\right) (\text{Rescale}(T_i) + 1)$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{WMAE}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t |(f(I_i) - T_i)|$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Poisson}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(I_i) - T_i \log f(I_i)$$

• Matern-kernel Gaussian process with a whitening kernel to

• Train row-wise and column-wise gaussian process independently

• We include a stack of convolution layers at the front that evaluate the entire patch. Each layer in the stack is only responsible for a

• Afterwards, we add hidden feed-forward layers that operate on the resulting latent vector, sharing weights between velocity bins. • A final fully-connected layer produces the scalar <sup>13</sup>CO estimate.

with regions of space sparse **concentrations** of <sup>13</sup>CO and also several bright **hot-spots** with

• We need a loss which works across many orders of magnitude.

Cannot use percent-error directly due to data variability. However, bright regions are the most important. We evaluate how well we model <sup>13</sup>CO *w.r.t* these bright regions: **Scaled Absolute Error** 



Was our assumption that we can train on individual patches a valid one? We compare model performance at different patch sizes.





### Results



### Locality