

# Scalable, End-to-End, Deep-Learning-Based Data Reconstruction Chain for Particle Imaging Detectors

François Drielsma<sup>1†</sup>, Kazuhiro Terao<sup>1</sup>, Laura Dominé<sup>2</sup>, Dae Heun Koh<sup>2</sup> on behalf of the DeepLearnPhysics Collaboration

<sup>1</sup>SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, <sup>2</sup>Stanford University, <sup>†</sup>drielsma@slac.stanford.edu



### 1. Introduction

Reconstruction challenges in LArTPC  $\nu$  experiments:

- High resolution images  $\rightarrow$  huge phase space
- Background-rich environment (SBN program)
- Massive  $\mathcal{O}(10)$  tons volume (DUNE-FD)
- Interaction pileups (DUNE-ND)

Simulation of particle interactions in liquid argon:

- $768^3$  voxels images ( $\sim 12\text{ m}^3$  of LAr,  $3 \times 3 \times 3\text{ mm}^3$  voxels)
- One 'particle bomb' per image (tracks + showers from common vertex)
- Cosmic muons + random showers overlayed

Open dataset: *PILArNet*, arXiv:2006.01993

### 2. Semantic Segmentation and Point Proposal

**Semantic segmentation**

Input: particle energy deposition images

Goal: voxel-wise particle **type classification**

- Shower-like, track-like, Michel electron, delta ray or low energy (LE)

Strategy: **Sparse-UResNet** autoencoder

- Based on the UNet architecture (features at different scales and skip connections)
- Convolutions done using **ResNet** blocks
- Sparse** convolutions (SCN package)
- Learn five scores per pixel (one per class)

Sparse convolutions makes this technique **scalable** to large volumes: computation time grows as the number of active voxels

Inference: argmax on pixel scores

- Top right plot: confusion matrix
- Michel/track or delta/track confusion due to systematic overlaps
- Delta/LE confusion due to mislabelling

**Point Proposal Network (PPN)**

Goal: locate **interesting points**

- Identify start/end points of tracks, start points of showers, Michel and delta rays
- Reconstruct exact point locations

Strategy: share the semantic segmentation Sparse-UResNet for feature extraction

- Three voxel proposal layers** at three scales
- Voxel mask propagated from lowest to highest resolution layer
- 3x3 convolution at last layer to identify offset and class of masked voxels

Inference: use pooling to select points

- Bottom right plot: distance from label point to closest proposed point and vice versa (excludes delta ray points)
- 68 % of label points  $< 0.35$  voxel of proposed points, 95 %  $< 1.43$  voxel
- Traditional methods report 68 % of vertex  $< 0.73\text{ cm}$ , i.e. 2.43 voxel here

*Sparse-UResNet+PPN*, PRD.102.012005, arXiv:2006.14745

### 3. Dense Clustering

Input to this reconstruction step:

- Particle energy deposition images
- Semantic segmentation predictions from previous stage

Goal: cluster **densely-connected voxels** into particle instances

Strategy: use Sparse-UResNet as a feature extractor and learn

- Embedding**: map points belonging to same instance close
- Seediness**: give points close to centroid a high score
- Margin**: give larger embedded instances larger margins

Inference: iterative Gaussian kernel clustering

- Use highest seediness voxel as centroid
- Cluster points within some distance normalized by margin, repeat

*SPICE*, arXiv:2007.03083

**4. Particle Aggregation**

Input: image segmented into dense particle instances

Goal: aggregate particles into **superstructures**

- Shower fragments into shower instances (+ identify primary)
- Particle instances into interactions (+ identify species)

Strategy: use a Graph Neural Network

- Connect particles with every other particle (complete graph)
- Provide node and edges with summary statistic features
- Use message passing to extract useful node and edge features

Inference: features are reduced to scores

- Node scores used to identify primary (99.5 %) or species
- Edge scores used to constrain adjacency matrix (clustering)

*GrapPA*, arXiv:2007.01335