

UNSUPERVISED NEURAL NETWORKS FOR QUANTUM EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS Henry Jin¹, Marios Mattheakis², Pavlos Protopapas²

Abstract

Eigenvalue problems are critical to several fields of science and engineering. We present a novel unsupervised neural network for discovering eigenfunctions and eigenvalues for differential eigenvalue problems with solutions that identically satisfy the boundary conditions. A scanning mechanism is embedded allowing the method to find an arbitrary number of solutions. The network optimization is datafree and depends solely on the predictions. The unsupervised method is used to solve the quantum infinite well and quantum oscillator eigenvalue problems.

Introduction

- Neural networks solve differential equations with derivatives taken through auto-differentiation [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].
- We designed unsupervised networks for solving eigenvalue problems of the form

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \lambda f(x)$$

 \mathcal{L} is a differential operator, λ is the eigenvalue, and f(x) is the eigenfunction.

• We solve Schrodinger's equation from quantum physics, where $\psi(x)$ is the eigenfunction, E the energy eigenvalue, and V(x) the potential

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\right]\psi(x) = E\psi(x)$$

Methodology



Fig. 1: Neural network architecture, parameterization of network output, and loss function

- The network takes in inputs x and a constant 1. The constant 1 is fed into a single neuron, which learns solution eigenvalues.
- Impose homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions with parametrization

$$g(x) = \left(1 - e^{-(x - x_L)}\right) \left(1 - e^{-(x - x_R)}\right)$$

• Physics-informed loss function is given by

$$\begin{split} L &= L_{\mathsf{DE}} + L_{\mathsf{reg}} \\ &= \left\langle \left(\mathcal{L}f(x,\lambda) - \lambda f(x,\lambda) \right)^2 \right\rangle_x + L_{\mathsf{reg}} \end{split}$$

• Data-free training since the L solely depends on network predictions.

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California Berkeley¹ John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University²



Fig. 2: The scanning mechanism pushing eigenvalue upwards. Red dot is eigenvalue, and blue curve is the shifting L_{drive}

• We used Adam optimizer for training, two hidden layers, 50 neurons each. We also used $sin(\cdot)$ instead of more common activation functions because we found that it accelerates the convergence to a solution.

Experiments

We test our method on two cases of the Schrodinger equation Eq. (2). **Infinite square well:** The potential function is given by

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le x \le \ell \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

• The analytical solutions to this problem are



Fig. 3: Found solutions for the infinite square well. Errors are of the order 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} for ψ and E.

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)



Quantum harmonic oscillator: The potential is given by

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}kx^2,$$

• The exact solutions for the eigenfunctions and energies are given in terms of Hermite polynomials H_n

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\pi^{1/4}} H_n(x), \qquad E_n = n +$$



Fig. 4: Found solutions for the harmonic oscillator. Errors are of the order 10^{-2} and 10^{-2} for ψ and E.

Conclusion

- A general neural network method for discovering eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of boundary conditioned problems.
- The boundary conditions are identically satisfied through a parametrization.
- Different boundary conditions can be identically satisfied through a different parametrization.
- An embedded scanning mechanism allows the network to find different eigenvalues and eigenfunctions pairs.
- The network optimization solely depends on the predictions consisting an unsupervised data-free learning method.
- A physics-informed loss function. Dips in loss and plateaus in eigenvalue predictions indicate a solution, giving physical meaning to loss function.

References

- [1] Jiequn Han, Arnulf Jentzen, and E Weinan. In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 115 34 (2017), pp. 8505-8510. DOI: 10.1073/ pnas.1718942115.
- [2] I.E. Lagaris, A. Likas, and D.I. Fotiadis. In: Computer Physics Communications 104.1 (1997), pp. 1–14. ISSN: 0010-4655.
- Isaac Lagaris, Aristidis Likas, and Dimitrios Fotiadis. In: Neural Networks, IEEE Transac*tions on* (Oct. 1998), pp. 987–1000.
- Martin Magill, Faisal Qureshi, and Hendrick W. de Haan. In: *NeurIPS*. 2018.
- Marios Mattheakis et al. 2020. arXiv: 2001.11107 [physics.comp-ph].

(5)





