# Deep reinforcement learning control of cylinder flow using rotary oscillations at low Reynolds number Mikhail P. Tokarev<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Egor V. Palkin<sup>1,2</sup>, Evgeniy N. Pavlovskiy<sup>1</sup>, Rustam I. Mullyadzhanov<sup>1,2</sup> \*Novosibirsk State University <sup>1</sup>Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Pirogova 2, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia <sup>2</sup>Institute of Thermophysics, SB RAS, Lavrentyev Ave., 1, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia \*m.tokarev@nsu.ru



We apply deep neural networks to propose new control strategies considering a classical problem – flow over a cylinder. The optimal control strategy relies on the Reinforcement learning approach and a Policy gradient algorithm with a maximization of a defined reward function. The governing flow control parameter is the rotation velocity of the cylinder around its axis. Experimenting with the angular velocity, the neural network is able to devise a control strategy based on low frequency harmonic oscillations with some additional modulations to stabilize the Kármán vortex street at a low Reynolds number Re = 100. We examine the convergence issue for two reward functions showing that later epoch number does not always guarantee a better result. The performance of the controller provide the drag reduction of 14% or 16% depending on the employed reward function.

A well-known Kármán vortex street is typically formed in the wake of the flow over a bluff body exerting an oscillating value of the force [1]. This unsteadiness may cause structural damages due to the coupling of the body vibrations and pressure fluctuations of the fluid.

## **Problem formulation and computational details**

We consider a cylinder of the diameter D in a fluid cross-flow with a uniform incoming velocity U (see Figure 1). The considered Reynolds number  $Re=U_D/v = 100$  representing a laminar flow regime with a Kármán vortex shedding, where v is the kinematic viscosity. The applied control strategy is based on the rotation of the cylinder around its axis with the wall velocity:  $U_w(t) = U_\infty \Omega(t)$ 

The direct numerical simulations (DNS) of the Navier–Stokes equations are employed. The primary goal is to find the optimal signal  $\Omega(t)$  to influence drag and lift coefficients:

The mesh contains 15,140 hexahedral cells and the computational timestep is  $\Delta t_{CFD} = 10^{-2}$ . The typical quantities of interest are the time-averaged drag coefficient  $C_{D} = 1.33$  and vortex shedding frequency  $f_{VS} = 0.17$ . The computations are performed using an open source unstructured finite-volume code T-Flows below referred to as the CFD solver [2, 3].

The coupling of the control algorithm and CFD environment is as follows:

- CFD solver obtains current velocity of the cylinder every control time step  $T_{ac} = 30\Delta t_{CED}$ .
- Computational domain contains an array of  $4 \times 3$  virtual pressure probes behind the cylinder that supply information on the state of the system to the input of the controller's fully-connected neural network (see Figure 2).
- Additionally an optimization algorithm based on Proximal Policy Optimization algorithm from OpenAI Baselines stack obtains reward values for each step which are used during training.

$$r = R_1 - (\langle C_D \rangle_{ac} + R_2 | \langle C_D \rangle_{ac} |),$$

where  $R_1 = 3$ ,  $R_2 = 0.1$  (Case 1 or c1) and 0.2 (Case 2 or c2) [4].

### **Results and discussion**

Control time step was chosen as ~10% of the characteristic time scale  $T_{vs}=1/f_{vs}$  of the vortex shedding (see Figure 3). In order to speedup the training process we employed multi-environment scheme. Below in Figures 4-7 obtained results are presented [5].





and CFD time step  $\Delta t_{CED}$ ; and (Right) multi-environment scheme of the flow control approach.



Figure 4. (Left) Evolution of the reward value averaged over the action time step during training (random policy); and (Right) random policy entropy decrease during the optimization process. Square points on both sets correspond to Epochs 37, 50 and 80.



**Figure 1.** A rotary oscillating cylinder in a cross-flow.



Figure 2. Active closed-loop flow control optimization scheme.

# Conclusions

Probing different values of the angular velocity, the neural network was able to create a control strategy based on low frequency harmonic oscillations with some additional modulations to stabilize the Kármán vortex street at a low Reynolds number Re = 100. The performance of the controller provide the drag reduction of 14% or 16% depending on the employed reward function comparable with a state-of-the-art control theory optimization routines based on adjoint methods.

# References 2005, 32–73.

5) Tokarev, M.; Palkin, E.; Mullyadzhanov, R. (2020). Deep Reinforcement Learning Control of Cylinder Flow Using Rotary Oscillations at Low Reynolds Number. Energies, 13(22), 5920. (The control code is available online: https://github.com/AICenterNSU/cylindercontrol)



Table 1. Characteristics of several flow regimes corresponding to the number of epoch during the training process, as depicted in Figure 4 by square points.



based oscillations (cyan line).

1) Williamson, C. Vortex dynamics in the cylinder wake. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 1996, 28, 477–539 2) Niceno, B.; Hanjalic, K. Unstructured large eddy and conjugate heat transfer simulations of wall-bounded flows. Model. Simul. Turbul. Heat Transf.

3) Niceno, B.; Palkin, E.; Mullyadzhanov, R.; Hadžiabdic, M.; Hanjalic, K. T-Flows Web Page. 2018. Available online: https://github.com/DelNov/T-Flows 4) Rabault, J.; Kuchta, M.; Jensen, A.; Reglade, U.; Cerardi, N. Artificial neural networks trained through deep reinforcement learning discover control strategies for active flow control. J. Fluid Mech. 2019, 865, 281–302.

2e50	c2e80
13.7	14.7
86.1	76.8
0.031	0.126
2.58	-20.6