Kohn-Sham equations as regularizer: Google Research building prior knowledge into machine-learned physics University of California, Irvine Li Li (李力)¹*, Stephan Hoyer¹, Ryan Pederson², Ruoxi Sun¹, Ekin D. Cubuk¹, Patrick Riley¹, Kieron Burke²

Density Functional Theory



Neural XC Functionals



¹Google Research, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA ²University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA * leeley@google.com

Exchange-correlation (XC) energy functionals Impact of DFT

. Burke. JCP (2012)

 ${\overleftarrow{v_{{
m xc}}}_{ ext{rc}, heta}}[n]$

compute XC potential $\delta E_{ ext{xc}, heta}[n]/\delta n(\mathbf{r})$

compute energy

compute XC energy

 $E_{{
m xc}, heta}[n]$

 $E_{{
m xc}, heta}[n]$

 $|E_k|$

calculations. • Over 40k papers published per year.

• The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 1998

• Nvidia estimates that 15% of world's

supercomputer time is devoted to DFT

• The algorithm behind many dataset for machine learning models: QM9, Open Catalyst **Project, Materials Project...**

KS self-consistent calculation as a differentiable program

- Differentiate the entire calculation end-to-end using JAX-DFT.
- Self-consistency <-> RNN
- Density mixing <-> residual connection
- Intentions behind loss function: KS self-consistent calculations
- would output the exact density; • the intermediate energies over iterations would converge to the exact energy.

$$L(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\text{train}} \left[\int dx (n_{\text{KS}} - n_{\text{DMRG}})^2 / N_e \right]$$

$$\downarrow \text{density loss } L_n$$

$$\downarrow \text{density loss } L_n$$

$$+\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\text{train}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k (E_k - E_{\text{DMRG}})^2 / N_e\right]}_{\text{Logarization}}$$

energy loss L_E

Not an easy task

Performance

Why Kohn-Sham regularizer (KSR) works with limited data?

- calculations.

0.0050

0.0025

1.25 1.00

0.02

Q.0000

SIG

Learning Functionals on One-dimensional H₂

• The regions around equilibrium and the stretched limit are dominated by two different physics.

 The stretched H2 are strongly correlated system, where most density functionals fail.

• Direct ML clearly fails to capture the physics from very limited data. • KSR-LDA and -GGA yield reasonably accurate results near the training data, but not in the stretched limit.

• KSR-global achieves chemical accuracy for all separations. The

distribution of the prediction at different checkpoints (grey curves) are near the true dissociation curve.

• Neural networks are usually underdetermined so regularization is crucial to improve generalization especially when data is limited. • The physics computation procedure itself can act as a regularizer by

sampling the density space during the training.

• Most existing ML functionals learn to predict a single iteration from the exact density, which is a poor surrogate for the full self-consistent $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$

• The model is not expected to behave correctly on unseen initial densities for KS calculations.

• A model trained on many densities for single iteration prediction is not guaranteed to converge in self-consistent calculations..

• Since KSR allows the model access to all the KS iterations, it learns to optimize the entire self-consistent procedure to avoid the error accumulation from greedy optimization of single iterations.





Generalization to Unseen Molecules and Outlook

• Retrain neural XC functional with KSR on $N_{\text{train}} = 8$ examples each of H2 and H4 molecules.

• full KSR: KSR with both energy and density loss • energy only KSR: KSR with only energy loss • direct ML

• Full KSR has the lowest error at minimum $N_{train} = 4$ reaching chemical accuracy at 6.

• As the size of the training set increases, energy only KSR reaches chemical accuracy at 10, but direct ML model never does (even at 20).

 Both KSR models have perfect prediction on H₂⁺ because of the self-interaction gate while direct ML models always have large errors.

 KSR models generalize much better than ML on unseen molecules H₂H₂



Dissociation curves from the neural XC functional trained from $N_{\text{train}} = 8$



Outlook

- Our results for KS-DFT serve as proof of principle for rethinking computational physics in this new era with enormous development in
 - automatic differentiation libraries
 - (JAX, PyTorch, TensorFlow), hardware accelerators
 - (GPUs, TPUs),
 - and deep learning algorithms.
- Physics computation itself serves as an implicit regularizer while training the embedded neural networks.
- Differentiable programming blurs the boundary between physics computation and ML. Beyond density functionals, in principle all heuristics in DFT calculations, e.g., initial guess, density update, preconditioning, basis sets, even the entire self-consistent calculations as a meta-optimization problem.
- Code and examples: github.com/google-research/ google-research/tree/master/jax_dft