Digital Twin Earth - Coasts: Developing a fast and physics-informed surrogate model for coastal floods via neural operators
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Abstract

Developing fast and accurate surrogates for physics-based coastal and ocean models is an urgent need due to the coastal flood risk under accelerating sea level rise, and the computational expense of deterministic numerical models. For this purpose, we develop the first digital twin of Earth coastlines with new physics-informed machine learning techniques extending the state-of-art Neural Operator. As a proof-of-concept study, we built Fourier Neural Operator (FNO) surrogates on the simulations of an industry-standard coastal and ocean model – Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean (NEMO). The resulting FNO surrogate accurately predicts the sea surface height in most regions while achieving upwards of 45x acceleration of NEMO. We delivered an open-source CoastalTwin platform in an end-to-end and modular way, to enable easy extensions to other simulations and ML-based surrogate methods. Our results and deliverable provide a promising approach to massively accelerate coastal dynamics simulators, which can enable scientists to efficiently execute many simulations for decision-making, uncertainty quantification, and other research activities.

1 Introduction

Rising sea levels are one of the most significant results of climate change, potentially threatening lives and damaging infrastructure in the coastal regions [1]. The accelerating rate of sea level rise will exacerbate coastal flooding, particularly under the increasing coastal populations and in some regions an increase in the severity of extreme storms [2, 3]. Physics-based numerical models, such as Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean (NEMO) [4], have been developed to simulate and predict dynamics of sea surface height. These physical models – driven by wind speed and mean sea level atmospheric pressure – simulate the dynamics of water velocity and sea surface height by solving the mass and momentum conservation equations. Yet running these physics-based models can be extremely computationally expensive depending on the simulation time, the domain size and resolution, due to the need to numerically resolve multi-physics and multi-scale dynamics represented through coupled nonlinear equations in large spatial domains [5]. In particular, these
complex simulators are not fast enough for reliable risk estimation, uncertainty quantification, or real-time predictions [5], and are replaced by models with physical approximations that sacrifice accuracy for computational efficiency [6].

Machine learning (ML) methods have received much attention in the Earth science community due to their success at providing fast data-driven models with high accuracy [7, 8]. In particular, surrogate modeling approaches replace expensive forward simulations by statistical representations through regression [9]. A recent focus has been on coupling ML and physical models (such as partial differential equations (PDEs)), such that the solutions not only faithfully reproduce the simulation but also physical constraints [10, 11]. However, training classical physics-informed neural networks is difficult due to the need to resolve the discretized PDE in the loss function [12]. Indeed, researchers found that these approaches are unable to represent dynamics of simple cases such as a one-dimensional two-phase flow model [13]. On the other hand, the recently proposed Fourier Neural Operator (FNO) [14] shows a promising alternative by learning the dynamics in the frequency domain. In doing so, FNO is not limited to one specific instance of a PDE but directly learns the solution operator of the PDE, which makes it mesh-independent [14].

Here, we propose the first “coastal digital twin,” an emulator built on state-of-art physics-informed ML techniques to produce computationally lightweight surrogate models that provide fast and accurate predictions of sea surface heights in coastal regions. As a proof-of-concept experiment, we developed a digital twin for the NEMO simulations in northwestern Europe using an improved version of FNO. Our results show: (1) the extension of FNO to learn multivariate dynamics (note that FNO was used for univariate cases in its original development [14]); (2) the overall superior performance of FNO over the baseline model UNet [15] in emulating sea surface height; (3) the adverse impact of masked land boundaries in training FNO; and (4) a 45x acceleration achieved by FNO compared with NEMO simulation. We deliver the code and data to reproduce these results with our open-source platform CoastalTwin, including tools to extend our initial experiments.¹

2 Method

Our work is the first to use FNO to represent the complexity of real-world dynamics, including multivariate, multi-scale, and coupled phenomena. We simulate a coupled system of nonlinear equations including two-dimensional (2D) momentum balance for water velocity, mass balance, and boundary conditions between ocean/sea floor/sea surface [4]. In this section, we summarize the NEMO simulations and environment for this coastal climate setting, the FNO surrogate methods, and the specifications of our open-source platform CoastalTwin.

¹Repository is open-sourced at the following address: gitlab.com/frontierdevelopmentlab/fdl-2021-digital-twin-coasts/coastaltwin
Figure 2: Pipeline for emulating Sea Surface Height (SSH) based on NEMO atmospheric forcings, including both the bathymetry profile and the dynamics of mean sea level pressure (MSLP), U-direction wind speed (U10), and V-direction wind speed (V10), using CoastalTwin of the four cases in Table 1.

2.1 NEMO simulation

NEMO was set up at a resolution of approximately 7 km over a domain covering northwestern Europe using the tri-polar ORCA grid system. The overall domain size was 520x292 cells. The atmospheric forcings of NEMO include mean sea level pressure (MSLP), U-direction wind speed (U10), and V-direction wind speed (V10) calculated at a height of 10 m above the surface from the downscaled product of ECMWF Reanalysis 5th Generation [16]. The bathymetry profile was from the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans product [17]. The simulation of two-dimensional (2D) sea surface height (SSH) was performed for all of 2020 with a timestep of 360 seconds and output every 5 minutes. In this experiment, we normalized the dynamic forcings and simulations (i.e., U10/V10/MSLP/SSH) to mean zero and unit variance, and implemented a special scaling for bathymetry such that

\[ B' = \frac{\ln(B+50) - \ln(50)}{\ln(100)} \]

where \( B \) is the ocean depth. This special scaling results in the local topological features that are sensitive to small bathymetry changes around zero but insensitive to moderate changes at large bathymetry. We then split the normalized dataset into test (April 2020) and training (the remaining 11 months) sets. More information on NEMO can be found at [4].

2.2 Fourier Neural Operator

Physics-informed ML methods integrate mathematical physics models with data-driven learning, namely with neural networks (NNs) [10]. A promising direction in spatiotemporal use-cases is neural operator learning: using NNs to learn resolution-invariant solution operators for PDEs [18, 19]. To achieve this, Li et al. [14] use a Fourier layer that implements a Fourier transform, then a linear transform, followed by an inverse Fourier transform for a convolution-like operation in a NN.

2.3 CoastalTwin

For implementing FNO and other ML-based surrogates, we developed CoastalTwin, a modular and extensible platform to integrate simulations from physical models, such as NEMO, with ML models, to produce reliable, accelerated emulation of coastal and ocean dynamics.

Using CoastalTwin, we developed the surrogate models of NEMO to predict SSH at time \( t_M \) based on both atmospheric forcings (i.e., U10, V10, and MSLP) at preceding times \( t_N, ..., t_0 \) and the bathymetry, where \( t_0 \) is the present time, \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) the history and \( M \in \mathbb{N} \) the lookahead, and \( \Delta t = 5 \) min the FNO time step. FNO is compared to a baseline UNet-based model [15]. The model was trained on various timescales constituting cases \( C_i = \{N=0, M=0\}, \{N=-3, M=0\}, \{N=-3, M=6\}, \{N=-3, M=12\} \) (Fig. 2). While case \( C_1 \) predicts the present SSH using the present forcings, the other three cases use forcings at both present and historical time steps, \( t_{N,0} \), to estimate SSH at a single present or future time, \( \{t_0, t_6, t_{12}\} = 0, 15, 30 \) min.

Modeling and experiment specs  Each FNO was developed by sequentially stacking a linear layer outputting 20 channels, 5 Fourier layers, and a final linear layer outputting 1 channel. Each Fourier layer contains 20 channels and a maximum of 40 frequency modes in both spatial dimensions, followed by a batch normalization and ReLU activation. Each UNet adopted three blocks of convolution in both contracting and expansive paths with the remaining architecture equivalent to [15]. We used the Adam optimization and a step-wise decreased learning scheduler with an initial rate 0.01, step size 20 epochs, and decay rate 0.1. We trained each model using Mean Squared Error (MSE) as
the loss function over 50 epochs and batch size 32, on one Tesla A100 Graphics Processing Unit (GPU). We masked the land simulation in the loss to alleviate the adverse impact of land, where SSH is undefined. In addition to MSE as a performance metric, we computed the Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) [20] and the correlation coefficient (CORR) between times series of prediction and true at each grid point.

3 Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSE/1-SSIM</th>
<th>C₁: N=0,M=0</th>
<th>C₂: N=-3,M=0</th>
<th>C₃: N=-3,M=6</th>
<th>C₄: N=-3,M=12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FNO</td>
<td>0.0011/0.2283</td>
<td>0.0018/0.2549</td>
<td>0.0011/0.2369</td>
<td>0.0011/0.2323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNet</td>
<td>0.0025/0.4178</td>
<td>0.0033/0.4180</td>
<td>0.0025/0.4232</td>
<td>0.0025/0.4263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Performances of the trained FNO and UNet of the four cases on the test dataset with regards to: Mean Squared Error (MSE) and one minus Structural Similarity Index (1-SSIM).

Table 1 summarizes the experiment results. Our FNO approach outperforms UNet for all the four cases with respect to MSE and 1-SSIM. This illustrates that FNO can better capture the PDE-based simulations than the baseline model, particularly in this multivariate scenario. Snapshots of FNO emulation of case C₁ on the test dataset shows its good agreement with the NEMO simulation (Fig. 1). In general a significant speed-up is achieved by using the FNO surrogate, which took ∼2 min to emulate the 1-month test dataset while the NEMO simulation took ∼1.5 hr on a single core of a 2.6 GHz CPU – we can expect GPU-parallelization and other optimizations to improve this speed-up another magnitude or more. Therefore, the FNO is well posed to be used as a fast and accurate surrogate for PDE-based simulation of NEMO.

For all the cases using FNO, the two metrics are similar to each other, with values of 0.001∼0.002 and 0.228∼0.255 for MSE and 1-SSIM, respectively. The close performances of cases C₁ and C₂ indicate that including historical dynamics does not strictly improve the modeling performance. Meanwhile, when involving historical inputs (i.e., cases C₂, C₃, and C₄), the results show that longer time prediction (1 hr for Case C₄) can be as reliable as the present prediction (Case C₂). This is likely because the prediction at limited future time steps (up to 1 hr) are well constrained by the PDE surrogates.

To explore the detailed estimation behavior of FNO and UNet, we computed the spatially-distributed correlation as well as the 2D frequency differences between the two surrogates, based on Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). Results of case C₁ is shown in Fig. 3, where we observe higher spatially averaged correlation with FNO than with UNet. In fact, FNO predictions correlate with the NEMO simulation better in most regions than UNet except the east coast of France and Spain, where the SSH dynamics are severely constrained by the land surface surroundings. The poor performance of FNO in land-surrounded areas reveals its potential inability to resolve local dynamics that are strongly affected by masked boundaries. Indeed, the impact of the masked boundaries is evidenced by the reduced correlations of FNO around the UK coastal region, although FNO still outperforms UNet. The 2D frequency plot in the bottom panel of Fig. 3 shows the temporally-averaged 2D spectra of NEMO simulation and its difference with FNO and UNet in the frequency domain. Compared with UNet, FNO does a better job in resolving the dynamics to a great extent in the red center box where the maximum frequency cutoff of the Fourier layer is defined. Nevertheless, both models show a significant difference with the NEMO spectra in the other cross sign frequency, which likely signifies the inability to represent coastal dynamics around the masked region.

4 Conclusion and Outlook

For the first time, a digital twin was developed for physics-based multivariate coastal and ocean modeling by leveraging the state-of-the-art neural operator, and demonstrated on complex real-world Earth systems data. Through experiments with NEMO simulations, we demonstrated the efficiency and accuracy of FNO on the sea surface height predictions, given that the training was performed with a limited dataset (i.e., single run of one-year simulation). FNO proves to be superior to the baseline UNet for all the four cases in capturing the overall predictions as well as the predictions in each spatial grid.
Future work will focus on the following two pathways. First, the FNO model requires a thorough hyperparameter tuning as well as a modification to address the adverse impact of masked land boundaries, which is a common issue in coastal modeling. Despite these limitations, it is important to note that emulation of digital twin is upwards of 45x faster than the NEMO simulation. This unparalleled success, therefore, underscores the other future pathway – developing a composite digital twin to emulate coastal inundation height. By leveraging neural operators, surrogates will be developed to reproduce coastal flooding in meter resolution generated by physics-based model such as Coastal Storm Modeling System (CoSMoS) [21]. Together, the two surrogates compose the coastal digital twin by serving complementary roles to simulate coastal dynamics in both local (meters) and regional (kilo-meters) scales. In either surrogate development effort, it is nonetheless important to continue validation experiments in various settings prior to use for real-world decision making, and further investigation is suggested into downstream effects and ethical implications of such decisions.
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